

# RETRACTS OF SIGMA-PRODUCTS OF HILBERT CUBES

A. CHIGOGIDZE

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the sigma-product of the  $\omega_1$ -power of the Hilbert cube. This space is characterized among its retracts as the only one without  $G_\delta$ -points.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sigma-products and their subspaces have been extensively studied by topologists and functional analysts for several decades. We refer the reader to [4] where a comprehensive survey of the related results from both topology and functional analysis are discussed in detail.

Recall that the sigma-product  $\Sigma(X, *)$  of an uncountable collection  $\{X_t : t \in T\}$  of spaces with base points  $*_t \in X_t$ ,  $t \in T$ , is the subspace of the product  $X = \prod\{X_t : t \in T\}$  defined as follows

$$\Sigma(X, *) = \{\{x_t : t \in T\} \in X : |\{t \in T : x_t \neq *_t\}| \leq \omega\}.$$

We are interested in the case when each  $X_t$  is a copy of the Hilbert cube  $I^\omega$ ,  $|T| = \omega_1$  and  $*_t$  is the point (in  $I^\omega$ ) all coordinates of which equal to 0. The corresponding sigma-product is denoted by  $\Sigma$ .

Our main result (Theorem 3.1) states that if a retract of  $\Sigma$  does not contain  $G_\delta$ -points, then it is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma$ .

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Terminology, notation and results related to inverse spectra and absolute retracts used here can be found in [1]. One of the main concepts we need below is that of  $\omega$ -spectra  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ . These are  $\omega$ -continuous inverse spectra consisting of metrizable compact spaces  $X_\alpha$ , surjective projections  $p_\alpha^\beta : X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , and an  $\omega$ -complete indexing set  $A$ . This essentially means that  $A$  contains supremums of countable chains and that for any such chain  $\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$  the space  $X_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$ , is naturally homeomorphic to the limit of the inverse sequence  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \{X_{\alpha_n}, p_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{n+1}}, \omega\}$ .

Recall also that a compact space is an absolute retract if and only if it is a retract of a Tychonov cube and that a map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  of compact spaces is soft

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54B10; Secondary: 54B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Sigma-product, inverse spectrum, soft map, fibered  $Z$ -set.

if for any compactum  $B$ , it's closed subset  $A$  and maps  $g$  and  $h$  such that the following diagram (of undotted arrows) commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ g \uparrow & \text{ } & \uparrow h \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \end{array}$$

(Note: A dotted arrow labeled  $k$  points from  $B$  to  $X$  in the original image, representing the map  $k: B \rightarrow X$ .)

there exists a map  $k: B \rightarrow X$  (the dotted arrow) such that  $k|_A = g$  and  $fk = h$ .

The prime example of a soft map is the projection  $X \times I^\omega \rightarrow X$ .

Finally recall that for a given map  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  a closed subset  $F \subseteq X$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set in  $X$  (with respect to  $p$ ) if for any open cover  $\mathcal{U} \in \text{cov}(X)$  there exists a map  $f_{\mathcal{U}}: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $pf_{\mathcal{U}} = p$  (i.e.  $f_{\mathcal{U}}$  acts fiberwise),  $f_{\mathcal{U}}(X) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $f_{\mathcal{U}}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $\text{id}_X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let a non-metrizable compact space  $X$  be represented as the limit of an  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  with soft projections  $p_\alpha^\beta$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  containing no closed  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $X$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in A$  there exists  $\beta \in A$ , with  $\beta > \alpha$ , such that there is a map  $i_\alpha^\beta: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$  satisfying the following two properties:*

- (1)  $p_\alpha^\beta i_\alpha^\beta = \text{id}_{X_\alpha}$ ,
- (2)  $i_\alpha^\beta(X_\alpha) \cap p_\beta(F) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$  and  $x_0 \in X_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $p_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(x_0)$  is closed and  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ , it follows from the assumption that  $p_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(x_0) \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ . Take an index  $\alpha_1 \in A$  such that  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_0$  and  $(p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1})^{-1}(x_0) \setminus p_{\alpha_1}(F) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in (p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1})^{-1}(x_0) \setminus p_{\alpha_1}(F)$ . The softness of the projection  $p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1}: X_{\alpha_1} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_0}$  guarantees the existence of a map  $i_0^1: X_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_1}$  such that  $p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1} i_0^1 = \text{id}_{X_{\alpha_0}}$  and  $i_0^1(x_0) = x_1$ . Let

$$V_1 = \{x \in X_{\alpha_0} : i_0^1(x) \notin p_{\alpha_1}(F)\}.$$

Note that  $x_0 \in V_1$  and consequently  $V_1$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X_{\alpha_0}$ .

Let  $\gamma < \omega_1$ . Suppose that for each  $\lambda$ ,  $1 \leq \lambda < \gamma$ , we have already constructed an index  $\alpha_\lambda \in A$ , an open subset  $V_\lambda \subseteq X_{\alpha_0}$  and a section  $i_0^\lambda: X_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_\lambda}$  of the projection  $p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_\lambda}: X_{\alpha_\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_0}$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\alpha_\lambda < \alpha_\mu$ , whenever  $\lambda < \mu < \gamma$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_\mu = \sup\{\alpha_\lambda : \lambda < \mu\}$ , whenever  $\mu < \gamma$  is a limit ordinal,
- (iii)  $V_\lambda \subsetneq V_\mu$ , whenever  $\lambda < \mu < \gamma$ ,
- (iv)  $V_\mu = \bigcup\{V_\lambda : \lambda < \mu\}$ , whenever  $\mu < \gamma$  is a limit ordinal,
- (v)  $i_0^\mu = \bigtriangleup\{i_0^\lambda : \lambda < \mu\}$ , whenever  $\mu < \gamma$  is a limit ordinal,
- (vi)  $i_0^\lambda = p_{\alpha_\lambda}^{\alpha_\mu} i_0^\mu$ , whenever  $\lambda < \mu < \gamma$ ,
- (vii)  $V_\lambda = \{x \in X_{\alpha_0} : i_0^\lambda(x) \notin p_{\alpha_\lambda}(F)\}$ .

We shall construct the index  $\alpha_\gamma$ , the open subset  $V_\gamma \subseteq X_{\alpha_0}$  and the section  $i_0^\gamma: X_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_\gamma}$  of the projection  $p_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_\gamma}: X_{\alpha_\gamma} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_0}$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal. By (i),  $\{\alpha_\mu: \mu < \gamma\}$  is a countable chain in  $A$  and we let (recall that the indexing set  $A$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\omega$ -complete set and therefore contains supremums of countable chains of its elements)

$$\alpha_\gamma = \sup\{\alpha_\mu: \mu < \gamma\} \in A.$$

By the  $\omega$ -continuity of the spectrum  $\mathcal{S}$ , the compactum  $X_{\alpha_\gamma}$  is naturally homeomorphic to the limit of the inverse sequence  $\{X_{\alpha_\mu}, p_{\alpha_\lambda}^{\alpha_\mu}, \lambda, \mu < \gamma\}$ . Consequently, by (vi), the diagonal product

$$i_0^\gamma = \Delta\{i_0^\mu: \mu < \gamma\}: X_{\alpha_0} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_\gamma}$$

is well-defined and satisfies corresponding conditions (v) and (vi). Let

$$V_\gamma = \{x \in X_{\alpha_0}: i_0^\gamma(x) \notin p_{\alpha_\gamma}(F)\}.$$

Note that  $V_\gamma = \cup\{V_{\alpha_\mu}: \mu < \gamma\}$ . Then, corresponding conditions (vii), (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

Next consider the case  $\gamma = \mu + 1$ . In case  $V_\mu = X_{\alpha_0}$ , the desired  $\beta$  is  $\alpha_\mu$ . Suppose that  $V_\mu \neq X_{\alpha_0}$  and let

$$x_\mu = i_0^\mu(z) \in i_0^\mu(X_{\alpha_0}) \subseteq X_{\alpha_\mu},$$

where  $z \in X_{\alpha_0} \setminus V_\mu$ . Since  $p_{\alpha_\mu}^{-1}(x_\mu)$  is closed and  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ , we have  $p_{\alpha_\mu}^{-1}(x_\mu) \setminus F \neq \emptyset$  (note that  $X_{\alpha_\mu}$  is a metrizable compactum). Choose an index  $\alpha_\gamma \in A$  so that  $\alpha_\gamma > \alpha_\mu$  and  $(p_{\alpha_\mu}^{\alpha_\gamma})^{-1}(x_\mu) \setminus p_{\alpha_\gamma}(F) \neq \emptyset$ .

Softness of the projection  $p_{\alpha_\mu}^{\alpha_\gamma}: X_{\alpha_\gamma} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_\mu}$  guarantees the existence of a map  $i_\mu^\gamma: X_{\alpha_\mu} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_\gamma}$  such that  $p_{\alpha_\mu}^{\alpha_\gamma} i_\mu^\gamma = \text{id}_{X_{\alpha_\mu}}$  and  $i_\mu^\gamma(x_\mu) = z'$ , where  $z' \in (p_{\alpha_\mu}^{\alpha_\gamma})^{-1}(x_\mu) \setminus p_{\alpha_\gamma}(F)$ . Let  $i_0^\gamma = i_\mu^\gamma i_0^\mu$  and  $V_\gamma = \{x \in X_{\alpha_0}: i_0^\gamma(x) \notin p_{\alpha_\gamma}(F)\}$ . Note that  $V_\mu \subseteq V_\gamma$  and  $z' \in V_\gamma \setminus V_\mu$ . This completes construction of the needed objects in the case  $\gamma = \mu + 1$ .

Thus the construction can be carried out for each  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and we obtain a strictly increasing collection  $\{V_\lambda: \lambda < \omega_1\}$  of open subsets of the metrizable compactum  $X_{\alpha_0}$ . Clearly, this collection must stabilize, which means that there is an index  $\lambda_0 < \omega_1$  such that  $V_\lambda = V_{\lambda_0}$  for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . By construction, this is only possible if  $V_{\lambda_0} = X_{\alpha_0}$ . Let  $\beta = \alpha_{\lambda_0}$  and  $i_\alpha^\beta = i_{\alpha_0}^{\lambda_0}$ . Clearly  $i_\alpha^\beta(X_\alpha) \cap p_\beta(F) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We also need the following statement.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let a non-metrizable compact space  $X$  be represented as the limit of an  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S} = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$  with soft projections  $p_\alpha^\beta$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a closed subset of  $X$  containing no closed  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $X$ . Then for each  $\alpha \in A$  there exists an index  $\beta \in A$ , with  $\beta > \alpha$ , such that  $p_\beta(F)$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set in  $X_\beta$  with respect to the projection  $p_\alpha^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ . Choose  $\alpha_{k+1} > \alpha_k$  so that the projection  $p_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha_{k+1}}: X_{\alpha_{k+1}} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_k}$  has a section  $i_k^{k+1}: X_{\alpha_k} \rightarrow X_{\alpha_{k+1}}$  such that  $i_k^{k+1}(X_{\alpha_k}) \cap p_{\alpha_{k+1}}(F) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\beta = \sup\{\alpha_k: k \in \omega\}$ . Let us show that  $p_\beta(F)$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set in  $X_\beta$  with respect to the projection  $p_\beta$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i: i \in I\}$  be an open cover of  $X_\beta$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $U_i = (p_{\alpha_k}^\beta)^{-1}(U_i^k)$ ,  $i \in I$ , where  $k \in \omega$  and  $U_i^k$  is open in  $X_{\alpha_k}$ . Let  $j: X_{\alpha_{k+1}} \rightarrow X_\beta$  be any section of the projection  $p_{\alpha_{k+1}}^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\alpha_{k+1}}$ . Consider the map  $f_{\mathcal{U}} = ji_k^{k+1}p_{\alpha_k}^\beta: X_\beta \rightarrow X_\beta$ . Since

$$p_{\alpha_k}^\beta f_{\mathcal{U}} = p_{\alpha_k}^\beta ji_k^{k+1}p_{\alpha_k}^\beta = p_{\alpha_{k+1}}^{\alpha_k}(p_{\alpha_{k+1}}^\beta j)i_k^{k+1}p_{\alpha_k}^\beta = (p_{\alpha_{k+1}}^{\alpha_k}i_k^{k+1})p_{\alpha_k}^\beta = p_{\alpha_k}^\beta,$$

it follows that  $f_{\mathcal{U}}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $\text{id}_{X_\beta}$ . Also  $p_\alpha^\beta f_{\mathcal{U}} = p_\alpha^{\alpha_k}p_{\alpha_k}^\beta f_{\mathcal{U}} = p_\alpha^{\alpha_k}p_{\alpha_k}^\beta = p_\alpha^\beta$  (i.e.  $f_{\mathcal{U}}$  acts fiberwise with respect to  $p_\alpha^\beta$ ). It only remains to note  $f_{\mathcal{U}}(X_\beta) \cap p_\beta(F) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a pseudocompact space without  $G_\delta$ -points. If  $\beta X$  - its Stone-Ćech compactification - is an absolute retract of weight  $\omega_1$ , then  $\beta X$  is homeomorphic to  $I^{\omega_1}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, if  $x \in X$ , then  $x$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -point in  $\beta X$ . Since  $X$  is pseudocompact, no point in  $\beta X \setminus X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $\beta X$  (see [3, Exercise 6I.1]). Thus  $\beta X$  has no  $G_\delta$ -points. By Šćepin's theorem (see [1, Theorem 7.2.9]),  $\beta X \approx I^{\omega_1}$ .  $\square$

### 3. MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove our main result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a retract of  $\Sigma$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma$ ,
- (ii)  $X$  has no  $G_\delta$ -points.

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $|A| = \omega_1$ . First let us introduce some notation. If  $C \subset B \subseteq A$ , then  $\pi_B: (I^\omega)^A \rightarrow (I^\omega)^B$  and  $\pi_C^B: (I^\omega)^B \rightarrow (I^\omega)^C$  denote the corresponding projections. Similarly by  $\lambda_B: (I^\omega)^B \rightarrow (I^\omega)^A$  and  $\lambda_C^B: (I^\omega)^C \rightarrow (I^\omega)^B$  we denote the sections of  $\pi_B$  and  $\pi_C^B$  defined as follows:

$$\lambda_B(\{x_t: t \in B\}) = (\{x_t: t \in B\}, \{0_t: t \in A \setminus B\})$$

and

$$\lambda_C^B(\{x_t: t \in C\}) = (\{x_t: t \in C\}, \{0_t: t \in B \setminus C\}).$$

Here  $0_t$  denotes the point in the  $t$ -th copy of the Hilbert cube, all coordinates of which are equal to 0. Note that  $\Sigma = \bigcup\{\lambda_B((I^\omega)^B): B \in \exp_\omega A\}$ .

Let  $X \subseteq \Sigma$  and  $r: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  be a retraction. Recall that  $\Sigma$  is normal, pseudo-compact and  $\beta\Sigma = (I^\omega)^A$  (see [2, Problems 2.7.14, 3.10.E and 3.12.23(c)]). Consequently,  $\beta X = \text{cl}_{(I^\omega)^A} X$  and  $r$  has the extension  $\tilde{r}: (I^\omega)^A \rightarrow \text{cl}_{(I^\omega)^A} X = Y$ . Note that  $\tilde{r}$  is also a retraction and consequently  $Y$  is a compact absolute retract. Note that  $X$ , as a retract of  $\Sigma$ , is pseudocompact. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3,  $Y \approx I^{\omega_1}$ .

For each  $C, B \subseteq A$ , with  $C \subseteq B$ , let  $Y_B = \pi_B(Y)$ ,  $p_B = \pi_B|_Y$  and  $p_C^B = \pi_C^B|_{Y_B}$ . Clearly,  $Y$  is the limit space of the  $\omega$ -spectrum  $\mathcal{S}_Y = \{Y_B, p_C^B, \exp_\omega A\}$ . Since  $Y \approx I^{\omega_1}$ , Ščepin's spectral theorem (see [1, Theorem 1.3.4]) for  $\omega$ -spectra insures that there exists an  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal subset  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \exp_\omega A$  such that

- (1)  $Y = \lim \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A} = \{Y_B, p_C^B, \mathcal{A}\}$ ,
- (2)  $Y_B \approx I^\omega$  whenever  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (3)  $p_C^B: Y_B \rightarrow Y_C$  is a trivial fibration with fiber  $I^\omega$ , whenever  $C \subseteq B$ ,  $C, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Applying the same spectral theorem to the map  $\tilde{r}: (I^\omega)^A \rightarrow Y$  and to the  $\omega$ -spectra  $\mathcal{S} = \{(I^\omega)^B, \pi_C^B, \mathcal{A}\}$  (whose limit is  $(I^\omega)^A$ ) and  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{A}$  we can find an  $\omega$ -closed and cofinal subset  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \exp_\omega A$  such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  and for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists a retraction  $r_B: (I^\omega)^B \rightarrow Y_B$  such that  $p_B \tilde{r} = r_B \pi_B$ .

Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and consider the composition  $i_B = \tilde{r} \lambda_B|_{Y_B}: Y_B \rightarrow Y$ . Note that  $p_B i_B = p_B \tilde{r} \lambda_B|_{Y_B} = r_B \pi_B \lambda_B|_{Y_B} = r_B|_{Y_B} = \text{id}_{Y_B}$ . In other words,  $i_B$  is a section of the projection  $p_B$ . If  $C \subseteq B$ ,  $C, B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we let  $i_C^B = p_B i_C$ . Note that  $i_C^B$  is a section of the projection  $p_C^B$ .

Next we show that  $X = \bigcup \{i_B(Y_B): B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Indeed, let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\Sigma = \bigcup \{\lambda_B((I^\omega)^B): B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , there is  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x = \lambda_B(y)$  for some  $y \in (I^\omega)^B$ . But  $y = \pi_B(\lambda_B(y)) = \pi_B(x) \subseteq \pi_B(X) \subseteq \pi_B(Y) = Y_B$ . Consequently,  $i_B(y) = \tilde{r}(\lambda_B(y)) = \tilde{r}(x) = r(x) = x$ .

Next we will construct a cofinal collection of countable subsets  $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  of  $A$  and homeomorphisms  $h_\alpha: Y_{A_\alpha} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_\alpha}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ , whenever  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ ;
- (ii)  $A_\beta = \bigcup \{A_\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$ , whenever  $\beta < \omega_1$  is a limit ordinal;
- (iii) For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} h_{\alpha+1} = h_\alpha p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ , i.e. the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{A_{\alpha+1}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\alpha+1}} & (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}} \\ p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} \\ Y_{A_\alpha} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & (I^\omega)^{A_\alpha} \end{array}$$

- (iv)  $h_\beta = \lim \{h_\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$ , whenever  $\beta < \omega_1$  is a limit ordinal;
- (v)  $p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}: Y_{A_{\alpha+1}} \rightarrow Y_{A_\alpha}$  is a trivial fibration with fiber  $I^\omega$ ;

- (vi)  $i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(Y_{A_\alpha})$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set in  $Y_{A_{\alpha+1}}$  with respect to the projection  $p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ ;
- (vii) For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $h_{\alpha+1}i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} = \lambda_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}h_\alpha$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y_{A_{\alpha+1}} & \xrightarrow{h_{\alpha+1}} & (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}} \\
i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \lambda_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}} \\
Y_{A_\alpha} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & (I^\omega)^{A_\alpha} .
\end{array}$$

Let  $A_0$  be any element of  $\mathcal{B}$  and take any homeomorphism  $h_0: Y_{A_0} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_0}$ .

Let  $\beta < \omega_1$ . Suppose that for each  $\alpha < \beta$  we have already constructed a countable set  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  and a homeomorphism  $h_\alpha: Y_{A_\alpha} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_\alpha}$  satisfying the above conditions for appropriate indices. We proceed by constructing these objects for the ordinal  $\beta$ .

If  $\beta = \sup\{\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$ , then set  $A_\beta = \cup\{A_\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$  and  $h_\beta = \lim\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \beta\}$ . Then, all required conditions are clearly satisfied.

Now consider the case  $\beta = \alpha + 1$ . Since  $i_{A_\alpha}(Y_{A_\alpha})$  is a metrizable compactum in  $Y$ , it cannot contain closed  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $Y$  (which contain copies of the Tychonov cube  $I^{\omega_1}$ ). Consequently, we can find, based on Lemma 2.2, an element  $A_{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{B}$ , such that  $A_\alpha \subseteq A_{\alpha+1}$  and  $i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(Y_{A_\alpha}) = p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(i_{A_\alpha}(Y_{A_\alpha}))$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set with respect to the projection  $p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ . Since both projections  $p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  and  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  are trivial fibrations with fiber  $I^\omega$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $f: Y_{A_{\alpha+1}} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  such that  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}f = h_{A_\alpha}p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ . Then the set  $f(i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(Y_{A_\alpha}))$  is a fibered  $Z$ -set in  $(I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  with respect to the projection  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ . Consider now another fibered  $Z$ -set in  $(I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  (also with respect to the projection  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ ) – namely,  $\lambda_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}((I^\omega)^{A_\alpha})$ . There is a homeomorphism

$$g: f(i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(Y_{A_\alpha})) \rightarrow \lambda_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}((I^\omega)^{A_\alpha})$$

which acts fiberwise (i.e.  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}g = \pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ ). Here is the expression for  $g$ :

$$g = \lambda_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}h_\alpha p_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}f^{-1}|f(i_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}(Y_{A_\alpha})).$$

By the fibered  $Z$ -set unknotting theorem [5],  $g$  extends to a homeomorphism  $G: (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  such that  $\pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}G = \pi_{A_\alpha}^{A_{\alpha+1}}$  (i.e.  $G$  acts fiberwise). Then the required homeomorphism  $h_{A_{\alpha+1}}$  is defined as the composition  $Gf: Y_{A_{\alpha+1}} \rightarrow (I^\omega)^{A_{\alpha+1}}$ . Straightforward verification shows that all the needed properties are satisfied.

This completes the inductive process. Now let  $h = \lim\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $h: Y \rightarrow I^A$  is a homeomorphism such that  $h(i_{A_\alpha}(Y_{A_\alpha})) = \lambda_{A_\alpha}((I^\omega)^{A_\alpha})$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Consequently,  $h(X) = \Sigma$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a retract of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $X \times \Sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $X \times \Sigma$  is a retract of  $\Sigma \times \Sigma \approx \Sigma$  and has no  $G_\delta$ -points.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a compact space  $X$ :*

- (i)  $X \times \Sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma$ ,
- (ii)  $X$  is a metrizable absolute retract.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $h: \Sigma \rightarrow X \times \Sigma$  be a homeomorphism and  $\pi: X \times \Sigma \rightarrow X$  be the projection. Clearly  $r = \pi h: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  is a retraction. Since  $I^{\omega_1}$  is the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $\Sigma$  (and since  $X$  is compact),  $r$  admits the extension  $\tilde{r}: I^{\omega_1} \rightarrow X$ . Therefore  $X$ , as a retract of  $I^{\omega_1}$ , is an absolute retract. Note also that  $X$  is separable (as an image of  $I^{\omega_1}$ ). But separable compact subspaces of  $\Sigma$  are metrizable.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Apply Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse Spectra*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1996.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] L. Gillman, M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- [4] O. Kalenda, *Valdivia compact spaces in topology and Banach space theory*, Extracta Math. # 1, **15** (2000), 1–85.
- [5] H. Toruńczyk, J. E. West, *Fibrations and bundles with Hilbert cube manifold fibers*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. # 406, **80**, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA  
AT GREENSBORO, 383 BRYAN BLDG, GREENSBORO, NC, 27402, USA  
*E-mail address:* [chigogidze@www.uncg.edu](mailto:chigogidze@www.uncg.edu)